PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2004/001237 22.03.2004 21.03.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G09F27/00, G09F19/00 Applicant I-DARE INNOVATION LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Pavlov, V

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6067



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001237

		PCT/GB20	004/001237				
A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G09F27/00 G09F19/00						
21.0 7 405127700 405115700							
	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classif S SEARCHED	ication and IPC					
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification sy	ofice cumbate)					
IPC 7	G09F	mon symbols)	-				
].							
Document	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields	searched				
İ							
Electronic	data base consulted during the international search (name of data b	ase and, where practical search terms us	ern .				
I .	iternal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eu)				
		•					
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	lavant processes	5				
	The second state of the se	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
γ	US 6 084 526 A (BLOTKY RANDOLPH	M FT AI)	1_11				
	4 July 2000 (2000-07-04)	····	1-11, 16-22,				
	column 2 line Of the last of the		25-30				
·	column 2, line 25 - column 4, line 44; figures 1,2,4						
Υ	US 2001/020935 A1 (GELBMAN ALEXA)	NDFR)	1-11,				
	13 September 2001 (2001-09-13)		16-22,				
	nago 1 nanagnanh 10 nago E na		25-30				
	page 1, paragraph 10 - page 5, paragraph 37; figures 2-8						
A	US 6 504 580 B1 (DARBEE PAUL ET	AL)	1-30				
	7 January 2003 (2003-01-07)						
	column 4, line 55 - column 5, line 60; figures 1.3						
	-	-/- 	·				
ļ							
χ Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed	in annex.				
Special categories of cited documents:							
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance considered to understand the principle or theory underlying the							
invention 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international							
'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone							
which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the							
Of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled							
P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family							
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report							
4 October 2004 14/10/2004							
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Int Filmulie, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 Authorized officer							
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	Pavlov, V					
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016 FdVIOV, V							

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001237

C.(Continua	ntion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PCT/GB200	14/ 00123/
category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
1	US 2002/097195 A1 (FRANK SIDNEY E) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25) the whole document		1-19
	<u> </u>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
	•		
		-	
		. •	
			,
			•
	.*		
			
	5 ·		-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/001237

Patent document		Publication		Patent family	Publication
cited in search report		date		member(s)	date
US 6084526	Α	04-07-2000	JP	2000344244 A	12-12-2000
US 2001020935	A1	13-09-2001	CA	2434683 A1	12-09-2002
			EΡ	1421574 A1	26-05-2004
			WO	02071382 A1	12-09-2002
-, -			US	2002167500 A1	14-11-2002
			AT	241821 T	15-06-2003
			AU	5917499 A	03-04-2000
			CA	2343775 A1	23-03-2000
			DE	69908381 D1	03-07-2003
			DE	69908381 T2	22-04-2004
			EP	1345116 A1	17-09-2003
			EP	1110138 A1	27-06-2001
			WO_	0016189 A1	23-03-2000
US 6504580	B1	07-01-2003	ΑT	230885 T	15-01-2003
			AU	736704 B2	02-08-2001
			AU	6472298 A	22-10-1998
			CA	2285191 A1	08-10-1998
			CN	1253651 T	17-05-2000
			DE	69810599 D1	13-02-2003
			DE	69810599 T2	20-11-2003
			EP	0972280 A1	19-01-2000
			JP	2001517407 T	02-10-2001
			NZ	338070 A	27-10-2000
			WO	9844477 A1	08-10-1998
			US Au	6484011 B1	19-11-2002
			AU	733904 B2 6582298 A	31-05-2001
			CA	2284834 A1	20-10-1998 01-10-1998
			CN	1118746 B	
			EP	0970418 A1	20-08-2003 12-01-2000
			ĴΡ	2001524283 T	27-11-2001
			NZ	337920 A	23-06-2000
•			ÜS	6130726 A	10-10-2000
			WO	9843158 A1	01-10-1998
			ÜŠ	2002184626 A1	05-12-2002
			US	6278499 B1	21-08-2001
			US	6002450 A	14-12-1999
US 2002097195	A1	25-07-2002	WO	02058043 A1	25-07-2002

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001237

-	Roy	No. I Basis of the opinion				
-						
1	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	10	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material:						
	- 0	in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
L	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001237

	Bo	x No. II	Priority					
1.	. 🗵			nae not ho	on furnish	ad-		
•	The following december has not been fullished.							
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).							
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).							
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:							
						•.		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1		ement	pplicability; citati	ons and o	explanatio	ons supporting such statement		
١.						•		
Novetty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	20-22,25-30				
	Inve	ntive ste	ip (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11,16-22,25-30		
	Indu	strial app	olicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-30		
<u>)</u> .	Citat	ions and	l explanations					
	see s	separate	e sheet					
	Вох	No. VII	Certain defects	in the int	ernational	application		
he	e follo	wing de				emational application have been noted:		
	see separate sheet							
	Box	No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on	the intern	national application		

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 084 526 A (BLOTKY RANDOLPH M ET AL) 4 July 2000 (2000-07-04)

D2: US 2001/020935 A1 (GELBMAN ALEXANDER) 13 September 2001 (2001-09-13)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 2.2 Document D1 (see fig. 1, 2, 4 and corresponding description), which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

an electronic display device (10) comprising a housing (12); a display screen (26) mounted to the housing (12); data storage means (46) for storing visual content to be displayed; data processing means (44, 48) for driving the display screen; wherein the housing is moulded in the shape of a product offered for disposal at the point of sale.

- 2.3 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the claimed display device comprises means for securing the device at the point of sale.
- 2.4 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to provide an electronic display device which can be placed amongst the products discretely, so that it has potential to surprise a consumer.
- 2.5 An electronic display device (16) comprising means (55) for securing the device at the point of sale is disclosed in document D2 (see fig. 4, 7, 8 and page 2-3, §25). Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 18

3.1 The claim describes the use of an electronic display device as advertising accessory, which is attached or incorporated as part of a beverage dispenser. Document D2 (see fig. 4, 7, 8 and page 2-3, §25) discloses an electronic display device (16) which can be attached to an item, product or location for displaying indicia. In order to provide a beverage dispenser according to claim 18 the skilled person would attach the electronic display device from document D2 to a beverage dispenser without exercise of any inventive skills. The subject matter of claim 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 19

4.1 The claim describes the use of an electronic display device according to claims 1 -18 in a product display assembly. For the same reasons, disclosed above the subject matter of claim 19 cannot be considered as involving inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 20

- 5.1 The claim describes broadly a system for electronic display. Document D2 (see fig. 4, 7, 8 and page 2-3, §25-29) describes a system for electronic display, the system comprising at least one electronic display device (16), each display device having data storage means (28), data processing means (24), a display screen (30), means (55) for securing the device at the point of sale; and a portable data storage device (18) communicable with the electronic display device (16) such that data is transferable between the portable data storage and the display device.
- 5.2 The subject-matter of independent claim 20 differs from the disclosure of D2 in that the electronic display device has a housing. An electronic display device (10) comprising a housing (12) is described in document D1 as providing the same advantages as in the present application.
- 5.3 The features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to provide a system according to claim 20. The proposed solution in independent claim 20 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

6 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 26

- 6.1 The subject-matter of independent claim 26 differs from the subject-matter of independent claim 20 in that the system for electronic display comprises a wireless router for uprating the content of the data storage means from a remote server.
- 6.2 Document D2 (see page 4, §35, fig 6) describes a communication between a display device (16) and remote server (70). The use of a wireless router well known in the art and it is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to provide communication between a remote server and a plurality of electronic display devices. The subject matter of claim 26 therefore does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

7 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 29

7.1 The claim describes broadly a method of updating an electronic display device from a remote server by means of a wireless router. For the same reasons, disclosed above the subject matter of claim 29 cannot be considered as involving inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

8 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-11, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25, 27, 28, 30

Dependent claims 2-11, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25, 27, 28, 30 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

It is not at a present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new independent claim which meets the requirements of Article 33 (2) and (3) PCT. Should the applicant nevertheless regard some particular matter as new and inventive, an amended set of claims should be filed, defining the relevant subject-matter in terms of <u>one independent claim for each category</u> followed by dependent claims covering features which are merely optional (Rule 6.4 PCT).

Re Item VII.

- To meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT, the independent claims should be properly cast in the two part form, with all those features which in combination are part of the prior art being placed in the preamble.
- Reference signs in parentheses should be inserted in the claims to increase their intelligibility (Rule 6.2(b) PCT). This applies to both the preamble and characterising portion of all claims, independent or dependent.
- According to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT the documents D1 and D2 should be mentioned in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.
- The description must be brought into conformity with the claims.

 Care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed, Article 34(2)(b) PCT.

Re Item VIII.

- Although claims 1 and 18 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- Claims 20 and 26 have been drafted as separate independent claims as well, but they also appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 3 The system claim and the method claim should refer to the apparatus claim 1 in order

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001237

to meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 13.1 PCT. Attention is drawn to the fact that the independent claims 18, 20, 26 and 29 as drafted, do not relate to claim 1 and appear to describe different inventions. The aforementioned claims therefore do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 13.1 PCT and the application therefore appears to lack unity.